

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

X QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF
1933

For Quarter Ended: August 31, 2007 Commission File Number 000-49908

CYTODYN, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

COLORADO

75-3056237

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

1511 Third Street, Santa Fe, NM 87505

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

(505)988-5520

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

227 E. Palace Avenue, Suite M, Santa Fe, New Mexico
(Former address, changed sine last report)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section
13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter
period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been
subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No X
--- ---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and
posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to
be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405
of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that
the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No X
--- ---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer,
an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company.
See Definition of "accelerated filer, large accelerated filer, and smaller
reporting company" in Rule 12(b)-2 of the Exchange Act (check one)

Large Accelerated Filer _____ Accelerated Filer _____

Non-accelerated Filer _____ Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in
Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act): Yes No X
--- ---

On September 25, 2009, there were 18,802,857 shares outstanding of the
registrant's no par common stock.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1 Financial Statements	2
Item 2 Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations.	16
Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	19
Item 4T Controls and Procedures	19
PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1 Legal Proceedings	19

Item 2	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	20
Item 3	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	20
Item 4	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	20
Item 5	Other Information	20
Item 6	Exhibits	20

1

<TABLE>
<CAPTION>

CytoDyn, Inc.
(A Development Stage Company)
Condensed Balance Sheet

Assets	August 31, 2007 (unaudited)	May 31, 2007 (audited)
<S>	<C>	<C>
Current Assets:		
Cash	\$ 10,378	\$ 16,604
Prepaid Insurance	30,474	43,254
Prepaid license fees	50,000	50,000
	-----	-----
Total current assets	90,852	109,858
Furniture and equipment, Net	2,308	2,611
Intangible asset, Net	1,011	1,294
Deposit	495	495
	-----	-----
	\$ 94,666	\$ 114,258
	=====	=====
Liabilities and Shareholders' Deficit		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 249,068	\$ 239,572
Accrued liabilities	242,350	193,600
Accrued interest payable	11,888	10,216
Convertible notes payable, net	15,000	14,385
Notes payable	295,000	125,000
Indebtedness to related parties	454,702	455,701
	-----	-----
Total current liabilities	1,268,008	1,038,474
Accrued legal costs	75,000	0
Commitments and contingencies	0	150,000
	-----	-----
Total liabilities	1,343,008	1,188,474
	-----	-----
Shareholders' deficit :		
Preferred stock, no par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized, 100,000 shares issued and outstanding ...	167,500	167,500
Common stock, no par value; 25,000,000 shares authorized, 11,154,407 and 11,297,264 shares issued and outstanding at August 31, 2007 and May 31, 2007, respectively	4,072,865	4,172,865
Stock for Services	(76,521)	(106,521)
Additional paid-in capital	2,195,554	2,072,993
Accumulated deficit or unrelated dormant operations ..	(1,601,912)	(1,601,912)
Deficit accumulated during development stage	(6,005,828)	(5,779,141)
	-----	-----
Total shareholders' deficit	(1,248,342)	(1,074,216)
	-----	-----
	\$ 94,666	\$ 114,258
	=====	=====

</TABLE>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

2

<TABLE>
<CAPTION>

services (unaudited)	--	--	--	--	30,000	--	--	--	30,000
Stock-Based compensation (unaudited)	--	--	--	--	--	122,561	--	--	122,561
Rescission of common stock issued for service	--	--	(142,857)	(100,000)	--	--	--	--	(100,000)
Net loss August 31, 2007 (unaudited)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	(226,687)	(226,687)
Balance at August 31, 2007 (unaudited)	100,000	\$167,500	11,154,407	\$4,072,865	\$ (76,521)	\$2,195,554	\$ (1,601,912)	\$ (6,005,828)	\$ (1,248,342)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

5

</TABLE>
<TABLE>
<CAPTION>

CytoDyn, Inc.
(A Development Stage Company)
Condensed Statement of Cash Flows
Unaudited

	Three Months Ended August 31,		October 28, 2003 through August 31, 2007
	2007	2006	2007
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (226,687)	\$ (787,934)	\$ (6,005,828)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used by operating activities:			
Amortization /Depreciation	585	620	172,745
Amortization of Original Issue Discount	617	84,473	602,000
Reversal of contingent liability	(150,000)	--	--
Purchased in process research & development	--	259,399	274,399
Stock-based compensation	52,561	149,950	1,700,114
Changes in current assets and liabilities:			
Decrease in prepaid expenses	12,780	9,936	(30,474)
Increase in deposits	--	--	(495)
Increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	134,918	(4,973)	578,306
Net cash used in operating activities	(175,226)	(288,529)	(2,709,233)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Furniture and equipment purchases	--	(992)	(10,764)
Net cash used in investing activities	--	(992)	(10,764)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Capital contributions by president	--	--	5,512
Proceeds from notes payable to related parties	--	--	549,849
Payments on notes payable to related parties	(3,000)	62,341	(41,324)
Proceeds from notes payable issued to individuals	170,000	92,500	295,000
Proceeds from Notes Payable Related Party	2,000	--	602,000
Proceeds from the sale of common stock	--	--	757,417
Payments for offering costs	--	--	(81,867)
Proceeds from issuance of stock of AITI acquisition	--	512,200	512,200
Proceeds from issuance of stock of AGTI acquisition	--	--	100,000
Proceeds from exercise of warrants	--	--	28,350
Net cash provided by financing activities	169,000	667,041	2,727,137
Net change in cash	(6,226)	377,520	7,140
Cash, beginning of period	16,604	125,320	3,238
Cash, end of period	10,378	\$ 502,840	\$ 10,378
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Cash paid during the period for:			
Income taxes	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
Interest	\$ --	\$ 439	1,126

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

6

CytoDyn, Inc.
(A Development Stage Company)
Condensed Statement of Cash Flows
Unaudited

Non-cash investing and financing transactions:			
Net assets acquired in exchange for common stock in CytoDyn/Rexray business combination	\$	--	\$ 7,542
	=====	=====	=====
Common stock issued to former officer to repay working capital advance	\$	--	5,000
	=====	=====	=====
Common stock issued for convertible debt	\$	--	\$ 587,000
	=====	=====	=====
Common stock issued for debt	\$	--	\$ 120,082
	=====	=====	=====
Options to purchase common stock issued for debt	\$	--	\$ 62,341
	=====	=====	=====
Original issue discount and intrinsic value of beneficial conversion feature related to debt issued with warrants	\$	--	\$ 602,000
	=====	=====	=====

</TABLE>

On July 18, 2006 the company issued 2,000,000 shares of unregistered restricted common stock for 1,000 shares of AITI common stock. The acquisition was accounted for as an asset purchase (See Note 4). The company acquired a prepaid sponsored research project for \$162,800, a license agreement for \$150,000, and acquired \$109,399 in expenses associated with the license agreement and cash of \$512,200. The license agreement and associated expenses have been recorded as in process research and development expenses on the accompanying financial statements.

On July 16, 2007, the Company cancelled the issuance of 142,857 shares of restricted common stock previously issued to a consultation firm. In conjunction with the cancellation, the Company reduced stock compensation expense by \$100,000, which was the value of the shares on the date of cancellation.

On January 30, 2007, the company issued 100,000 preferred shares of unregistered stock for 1,000 shares of AGTI common stock. The company acquired a prepaid license fee for seven years for \$52,500 and \$15,000 in expense associated with the license agreement

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

7

CYTODYN, INC.
(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

As of August 31, 2007 (unaudited) and May 31, 2007 (audited)

and for the three months ended August 31, 2007 and 2006 (unaudited)

and for the period October 28, 2003 through August 31, 2007 (unaudited)

1 - Organization:

CytoDyn, Inc (the Company) was incorporated under the laws of Colorado on May 2, 2002 under the name Rexray Corporation ("Rexray"). The Company entered the development stage effective October 28, 2003 upon a reverse merger and a recapitalization of the Company and follows Statements of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 7 "Accounting and Reporting by Development Stage Enterprises". On October 27, 2003, Rexray changed its name to CytoDyn, Inc.

Advanced Influenza Technologies Inc (AITI) was incorporated under the laws of Florida on June 9, 2006. Advanced Genetic Technologies Inc (AGTI) was incorporated under the laws of Florida on December 18, 2006.

CytoDyn, Inc. discovered and is developing a class of therapeutic monoclonal antibodies to address significant unmet medical needs in the areas of HIV & AIDS.

2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

Basis of Presentation - The accompanying consolidated financial statements have

been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and reflect all adjustments, consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments, needed to fairly present the financial results for these periods. The condensed consolidated financial statements and notes are presented as permitted by Form 10-Q. Accordingly, certain information and note disclosures normally included in the financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been omitted. The accompanying consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements for the years ended May 31, 2007 and 2006 and notes thereto in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended May 31, 2007, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 30, 2007. Operating results for the three months ended August 31, 2007 and 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire year. In the opinion of management, all adjustments consisting only of normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair statement of (a) the results of operations for the three month period ended August 31, 2007 and 2006 and the Period October 28, 2003 through August 31, 2007, (b) the financial position at August 31, 2008, and (c) cash flows for the three month period ended August 31, 2007 and 2006, and the Period October 28, 2003 through August 31, 2007, have been made.

Principles of Consolidation. - The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of CytoDyn, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries; AITI and AGTI. All intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation.

Going Concern - The accompanying financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. As shown in the accompanying financial statements, the Company is currently in the development stage with losses for all periods presented. As of September 25, 2009, these factors, among others, raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

8

The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability of assets and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern. The Company's continuation as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to obtain additional operating capital, complete development of its medical treatment, obtain FDA approval, outsource manufacturing of the treatment, and ultimately to attain profitability. The Company intends to seek additional funding through equity offerings to fund its business plan. There is no assurance that the Company will be successful in these endeavors.

Use of Estimates - The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents - The Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments with original maturities of three months or less when acquired, to be cash equivalents. The Company had no cash equivalents as of August 31, 2007 or May 31, 2007. The Company maintains its cash in bank deposit accounts, which at times, may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts. As of August 31, 2007 the Company had no cash equivalents.

Furniture, Equipment and Depreciation - Furniture and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets, generally three to seven years. Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred and major improvements or betterments are capitalized. Gains or losses on sales or retirements are included in the statement of operations in the year of disposition.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets - The Company evaluates the carrying value of any long-lived assets under the provisions of SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets". SFAS 144 requires impairment losses to be recorded on long-lived assets used in operations when indicators of impairment are present and the undiscounted future cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' carrying amount. If such assets are impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying value or fair value, less costs to sell. There were no impairment charges for the three months ended August 31, 2007 and 2006, and for the period October 28, 2003 through August 31, 2007.

Research and Development - Research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

Financial Instruments - At August 31, 2007, and May 31, 2006, the carrying value of the Company's financial instruments approximate fair value due to the short-term maturity of the instruments.

Stock-based compensation - In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payments ("SFAS No. 123R"). SFAS No. 123R requires companies to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for the award of equity instruments based on the fair value of the award at the date of grant. The expense is to be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide services in exchange for the award. SFAS No. 123R is effective as of the beginning of the first interim or annual reporting period that begins after December 15, 2005 and

accordingly the Company adopted this standard on June 1, 2006. SFAS No. 123R provides for two transition methods. The "modified prospective" method requires that share-based compensation expense be recorded for any employee options granted after the adoption date and for the unvested portion of any employee options outstanding as of the adoption date. The "modified retrospective" method requires that, beginning June 1, 2006, all prior periods

<TABLE>
<CAPTION>

presented be restated to reflect the impact of share-based compensation expense consistent with the pro forma disclosures previously required under SFAS No. 123. The Company adopted the modified prospective application of SFAS No. 123R effective June 1, 2006, and as a result, was not required to restate its financial results for prior periods. Prior to June 1, 2006, the Company had adopted SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. As provided for by SFAS No. 123, the Company had elected to continue to account for its stock-based compensation programs according to the provisions of Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. Accordingly, compensation expense had been recognized to the extent of employee or director services rendered based on the intrinsic value of stock options granted under the plan. The Company accounted for common stock, stock options, and warrants granted to non-employees based on the fair market value of the instrument, using the Black-Scholes option pricing model based on assumptions for expected stock price volatility, term of the option, risk-free interest rate and expected dividend yield at the grant date. For all awards granted prior to June 1, 2006, the unearned deferred fair value of stock-based compensation was recognized as an expense on a straight-line basis over the remaining requisite service period, ranging from three months to four years. There was no impact on operating results and per share information had the Company accounted for stock based compensation in accordance with SFAS No. 123R for the three months ended August 31, 2007. Effective June 1, 2006, the estimated fair value of options and warrants granted is determined in accordance with SFAS No. 123R on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model with the following weighted-average assumptions. Risk free interest rate of 4.25% dividend yield 0%; volatility of approximately 71% and expected life 5.5 years. The risk-free interest rate assumption is based upon observed interest rates appropriate for the expected term of the stock options. The expected volatility is based on the historical market price at consistent points in a period equal to the expected term of the options. The Company has not paid any dividends on its common stock since its inception and does not anticipate paying dividends on its common stock in the foreseeable future. The computation of the expected option term is based on the "simplified method" as the Company's stock options are "plain vanilla" options, and the Company has a limited history of exercise data. For common stock options and warrants with graded vesting, the Company recognizes the related compensation costs associated with these options and warrants on a straight line basis over the requisite service period.

SFAS No. 123R requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Based on limited historical experience of forfeitures, the Company estimated future unvested option forfeitures at 0% as of August 31, 2007 and 2006. Net cash proceeds from the exercise of stock options and warrants were \$0 for the three months ended August 31, 2007 and 2006. Compensation expense related to stock options was approximately \$123,000 and \$50,000 for the three-month periods ended August 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. At August 31, 2007, there was approximately \$941,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to share-based payments for unvested options, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.37 years.

The following table represents stock option and warrants activity as of and for the three months ended August 31, 2007.

	Number of shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Options and warrants outstanding - May 31, 2007	2,047,222	\$1.61		
Granted	850,000	\$.68		
Exercised	--	--		
Forfeited/expired/cancelled	--	--		
Options and warrants Outstanding - August 31, 2007	2,897,222	1.34	7.48 years	\$ 171,600
Outstanding Exercisable - August 31, 2007	1,791,017	1.54	6.12 years	\$ 156,600

</TABLE>

The total average grant date fair value of options and warrants during the three months ended August 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$.42 and \$2.29, respectively. The fair value of options vested during the period ended August 31, 2007 and 2006 was approximately \$121,000 and \$47,000, respectively.

Stock Issued for Services

During the year ended May 31, 2006, the Company issued common stock for certain services to a public relations company and a technology company. The Company

recorded into additional paid in capital, the fair value of the common stock issued based on the quoted market price of the Company's common stock at the date of the respective agreements with the above parties. A contra-equity was recorded for the above services, which is being amortized into compensation expense and additional paid in capital over the requisite service period of the agreements. During the three months ended August 31, 2007 and 2006, approximately \$30,000 and \$99,000 was recognized as compensation expense related to these agreements, respectively. As of August 31, 2007, the unamortized portion of the stock for services was approximately \$ 77,000.

On July 16, 2007, the Company cancelled 142,857 shares of restricted common stock, which had previously been issued for services to be rendered by a consultation company. The expense associated with the original issuance had previously been amortized as compensation expense over the requisite life of the agreement. In conjunction with the cancellation, the Company has reduced compensation expense by \$100,000 for the period for non-performance under the contract, which represented the fair market value of the common stock on the date of cancellation.

Earnings (Loss) per Common Share -

Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income or loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average common shares and potentially dilutive common share equivalents. The effects of potential common stock equivalents are not included in computations when their effect is antidilutive. Because of the net loss for the three month period ended August 31, 2007 and 2006, the basic and diluted weighted average shares outstanding are the same, since including the additional shares would have an antidilutive effect on the loss per share calculation. Common stock option and warrants of 2,897,222 and 1,606,222 to purchase shares of common stock were not included in the computation of basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding for the three months ended August 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Additionally, convertible preferred stock that could convert into 4,333,333 shares of common stock were not included in the computation of basic and dilutive weighted average common shares for the above periods as the effect would be anti-dilutive.

Reclassification - Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to comply with current period presentation.

3 - Recent Accounting Pronouncements: In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 Fair Value Measurements, which defines fair value, establishes framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This statement does not require any new fair value measurements, but provides guidance on how to measure fair value by providing a fair value hierarchy used to classify the source of the information. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and all interim periods within those fiscal years. In February 2008, the FASB release FASB Staff Position (FSP FAS 157-2- Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157) which delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually), to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those financial years. The implementation of SFAS No. 157 for financial assets and liabilities, effective January 1, 2008 did not have an impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

11

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159. "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" ("SFAS No. 159"). SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure on an item by item basis, specified financial instruments and certain other items at fair value, Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected are required to reported in earnings at each reporting date. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, the provisions of which are required to be applied prospectively. The Company adopted this Statement as of January 1, 2008 and has elected not to apply the fair value option to any of its financial instruments.

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations, which replaces SFAS No. 141. The statement retains the purchase method of accounting for acquisitions, but requires a number of changes, including changes in the way the assets and liabilities are recognized in the purchase accounting. It also changes the recognition of assets acquired and liabilities assuming arising from contingencies, requires the capitalization of in-process research and development at fair value, and requires the expensing of acquisition related costs as incurred. SFAS No. 141R is effective for business combinations on or after December 15, 2008. The adoption of SFAS No. 141R is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's financial position results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160. "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements and Amendment of ARB No. 51". SFAS 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards pertaining to ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent, the amount of net income attributable to the parent and to the controlling interest, changes in a parent's ownership interest, and the valuation of any retained noncontrolling equity investment when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. This statement also establishes disclosure requirements that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The adoption of SFAS No. 160 is not currently expected to

have a material effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In March 2008, the FASB issued FASB No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. The new standard is intended to improve financial reporting about derivative instruments and hedging activities by required enhanced disclosures to enable investors to better understand their effects on an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. It is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, with early application encouraged. The company is currently evaluating the impact of adoptions SAFAS No. 161 on its financial statements.

Other recent accounting pronouncements issued by FASB (including EITF) the AICPA, and the SEC did not or are not believed by management to have a material impact on the Company's present or future financial statements.

4 - Acquisitions - On July 18, 2006 CytoDyn, Inc. entered into an acquisition agreement with UTEK Corporation, to purchase all 1,000 issued and outstanding shares of Advanced Influenza Technologies, Inc. (AITI), a Florida Corporation in exchange for 2,000,000 unregistered restricted common shares of CytoDyn, Inc stock.

The transaction was accounted for as an asset purchase, and not an acquisition of a business, as AITI had no employees, operations, or customers, and was essentially a shell corporation that was incorporated to consummate the purchase. Pursuant to the agreement, the Company acquired \$512,200 in cash, and a prepaid sponsored research project of \$162,800 from the University of Massachusetts to further the technology associated with certain acquired licenses. The \$162,800 is being amortized into research and development expense as the services are provided. The company valued the assets acquired based on the consideration received rather than the fair market value of the shares issued, as the company believed this was more indicative of the value of the assets acquired. In addition to the cash, and the prepaid sponsored research project, the Company acquired the worldwide nonexclusive and exclusive license

12

agreements from the University of Massachusetts for certain technologies. The license agreements were recorded as research and development expense, as the patent rights or license agreements are being used in a particular research project, and have no alternative future use outside of this project. Including the license agreements, a total of \$259,399 of in-process research and development was acquired related to the acquisition, which is included as a component of research and development expense for the period ended May 31, 2007. The license agreement grants the Company the exclusive right to develop and commercialize the licensed products associated with certain existing patents.

Milestone fees are payable to the University per licensed product and due within 30 days of the event of certain occurrences required.

The University shall also receive 4% royalties on net sales of the license products.

AITI agreed to fund a two-year (\$325,600) unrestricted project for (\$162,800 per year) under the Sponsored Research Agreement with the primary objective during the first year to conduct lab work to provide well documented research studies. If after one year the desired outcome is not achieved the agreement can be cancelled and the second year's payment is not required. Included in the consolidated statement of operations is \$162,800 of amortization expense for the period ended May 31, 2007 as all services related to the initial project were completed. The Company did not make the second payment and consequently as of August 31, 2007, the Company has no right to the above license agreement. Additionally, the milestone fee payable and royalties discussed above are no longer in force as of August 31, 2007.

On January 30, 2007 CytoDyn, Inc. entered into an Acquisition agreement with UTEK Corporation, to acquire 100% of the outstanding stock of Advanced Genetic Technologies, Inc. (AGTI), a Florida Corporation in exchange for 100,000 preferred no par value stock convertible into \$1,300,000 worth of common unregistered restricted shares of CytoDyn, Inc stock. The option to convert is any time after twelve (12) months and before thirty six (36) months from the date of closing of the agreement. The conversion option has a floor price of \$.30 per share, which limits the maximum number of shares that the company may issue upon conversion to 4,333,333 shares of common stock. There was no derivative liability or beneficial conversion feature associated with the conversion option.

AGTI holds the worldwide exclusive and nonexclusive license agreements from the CBR Institute for Biomedical Research affiliated with Harvard Medical School for certain biological materials.

The term of the licensing agreement is until the later of 20 years or the date the last patent expires that is owned or controlled by the Licensee.

Milestone fees are payable to the University per licensed product and due within 30 days of the event of certain occurrences required.

The University shall also receive 2% royalties of net sales of the licensed products up to \$200 million and 3% royalties of net sales in excess of \$200 million. In the case of a sublicense the University would get 25% of non-royalty sublicense income.

The transaction was accounted for as an asset purchase, and not an acquisition of a business, as AGTI had no employees, operations, or customers, and was essentially a shell corporation that was incorporated to consummate the

purchase. Pursuant to the agreement, the Company acquired \$100,000 in cash, and seven years of prepaid license fees to the Center for Biological Research at Harvard Medical School. \$52,500 was recorded as prepaid license fees and \$15,000 was expensed as Research and Development. The company valued the assets acquired based on the consideration received rather than the fair market value of the shares issued, as the company believed this was more indicative of the value of the assets acquired. In addition to the cash, and the prepaid license fees, the Company acquired the worldwide nonexclusive and exclusive license agreements from the Center for Biological Research at Harvard Medical School for certain biological materials. The license agreement grants the Company the exclusive right to develop and commercialize the licensed products associated with certain biological materials.

13

5 - Convertible Notes - During the year ended May 31, 2006, the Company issued convertible promissory notes with 407,600 detachable warrants to purchase common stock to individuals in exchange for proceeds totaling \$509,500. As of August 31, 2007 all of the convertible notes were converted into common stock. The original issue discount and beneficial conversion option were recorded as a discount to the convertible notes, and an increase in additional paid in capital, respectively. For the three month periods August 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company amortized approximately \$0 and \$48,000 of the discounts, which was included as a component of interest expense for the periods ended August 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

During the year ended May 31, 2007, the Company issued convertible notes with \$74,000 detachable common stock warrants to purchase common stock in exchange for proceeds of \$92,500. The notes bear interest at 5 percent per annum. Principal and accrued interest are payable in any combination of cash and common stock at the option of the Company. The Company can repay principal and accrued interest with common stock at the conversion price of \$1.25. As of August 31, 2007, \$77,500 of the \$92,500 in convertible notes were converted into common stock. The warrants to purchase common stock which accompanied the convertible promissory notes are exercisable at \$2.50 per share, vest immediately, and expire in October 2010. Additionally, the Company recorded an original issue discount based on the fair value of the warrants. To recognize the original issue discount, the Company discounted the notes and increased additional paid-in capital by \$92,500. The Company did not record the intrinsic value for conversion into the Company's common stock, as the discount was limited to the debt proceeds of \$92,500, which was fully discounted by the fair value of the warrants. The discount was amortized over the life of the debt. During the three month periods period ended August 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company amortized approximately \$617 and \$36,000 of this discount, which is included as a component of interest expense. From October 28, 2003 (inception date) to August 31, 2007, the Company amortized approximately \$602,000 of discounts related to convertible notes payable. As of August 31, 2007, the face amount related to convertible notes was \$15,000.

6 - Promissory Notes - During the year ended May 31, 2007, the company issued \$125,000 in unsecured promissory notes to third parties. The principal and interest on the notes are due in six months and pay interest at 14% per annum. During the three months ended August 31, 2007, the company issued an additional \$170,000 in promissory notes to third parties. The notes are all due in six months and pay interest of 14% per annum. The parties have agreed to extend the due date of the notes for another six months while continuing to accrue interest. As of August 31, 2007, approximately \$8,000 of interest has been accrued.

7 - Commitments and Contingencies -

In 2001, The Company sued its previous licensee Amerimmune Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (API) and its directors. The Company was ordered by the court to pay \$150,000 in attorney fees to the insurance company of API and recorded a contingent liability for the amount. Prior to issuance of the August 31, 2007 financial statements, the Company appealed the Court's decision and, in December 2007, the Court's decision was reversed based on the appeal. Based on these facts and circumstances, the Company has reversed the recording of the contingent liability as of August 31, 2007.

Related to certain litigation whereby the Company was both a defendant and a plaintiff, the company entered into a settlement agreement in December 2008. As part of the Settlement Agreement, the Company agreed to pay \$50,000 in January 2009 and \$25,000 on or before December 31, 2009 to the plaintiff. The company paid the \$50,000 in January 2009. The remaining \$25,000 is unsecured and accrues interest at 10 percent per annum. The Company accrued \$75,000 related to this settlement agreement as of August 31, 2007 for the past litigation. The associated expense for the three month period ended August 31, 2007 is included in legal fees in the condensed statement of operations.

14

8 - Related Party Transactions - As of August 31, 2007, the Company owed two officers promissory notes totaling of \$73,375. The notes are due on demand and carry no interest rate. Management plans to repay the notes through cash payments, issuance of the Company's common stock, or a combination thereof. The balance due of \$73,375 remained unpaid at August 31, 2007 and is included in the accompanying condensed financial statements as "Indebtedness to related parties".

A director provided legal services to the Company over the past several years. As of August 31, 2007, the Company owed the director \$43,985 and it is included in the accompanying financial statements as "Indebtedness to related parties" as of August 31, 2007. As of August 31, 2007, no arrangements had been made for the Company to repay the balance of this obligation. The Company anticipates that

the director will continue to provide legal services in the future.

A former director of the company is owed \$337,342 related to certain clinical research data that was obtained by the former director and later purchased by the Company. As of August 31, 2007, the liability has no payment terms and no stated interest rate, and is included in the accompanying condensed financial statements as "indebtedness to related parties".

9. Subsequent Events

In April 2008 our Board of Directors approved a Private Placement Memorandum to sell up to 6 million shares of common stock, no par value, a company offering. This offering was only available to accredited investors as defined under the 1933 Securities Act ("The Act"). The offering commenced on or about May 1, 2008 and ended June 15, 2009, the company has sold 3,876,508 restricted common shares and 1,938,254 warrants for proceeds totaling \$1,938,254. These securities were sold pursuant to an exemption from registration under Regulation D under "The Act" and will not be registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The warrants have an exercise price of \$1.00 per share, and expire in April 2013.

Subsequent to August 31, 2007 the Company granted common stock options to employees, directors, and consultants at exercise prices ranging from \$.34 to \$.72. The options vest between one and three years and expire in 2015.

Subsequent to August 31, 2007, the Company paid approximately \$600,000 in cash for the manufacturing of our product, Cytolin(R) to be used in human clinical trials.

Part I. Item 2. Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act). These forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and entail various risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including those set forth in, "Risk Factors" of the Company's May 31, 2007 Form 10-K.

Plan of Operations

CytoDyn, Inc. discovered and is developing a class of therapeutic monoclonal antibodies to address significant unmet medical needs in the areas of HIV & AIDS.

We intend to conduct, or may provide product for others to conduct, a clinical trial of Cytolin(R) for treating HIV/AIDS.

Projected costs to complete our research and development as a pre-requisite for co development and/or out-licensing.

We have negotiated with contract manufacturer Vista Biologicals Inc. to manufacture product for our clinical trials for \$565,000. If the next clinical trial is not conducted by the public sector, we will need to engage a Contract Research Organization to oversee the study, and anticipate costs of approximately \$300,000. Other costs such as labeling, vialing, Independent Review Board, Principal Investigator costs and lab costs are expected to be approximately \$439,000.

Timing and anticipated completion dates for research and development.

There are many factors that can delay clinical trial benchmarks (see Table below). However, the Company hopes to receive the results and analysis of the upcoming clinical trial during 2010.

Benchmark	Some Factors That Can Cause Delays+
Patient Outreach	Manufacturing Delays Documentation Delays IRB Delays Delays in Regulatory Review or Approval Force Majeure
Dose First Patient	Fill and Finish Delays Slower Than Expected Patient Enrollment Force Majeure
Lock Database - Begin Statistical Analysis	Slower Than Expected Patient Enrollment Clinical Hold Laboratory Error Protocol Deviation Force Majeure

Results of Operations for the Three Months ended August 31, 2007 and 2006

For the three Months Ended August 31, 2007 and 2006 the Company had no activities that produced revenues from operations.

For the Three Months Ended August 31, 2007, the Company had a net loss of (\$226,687) compared to a net loss of \$(787,934) for the corresponding period in 2006. For the Three months ended August 31, 2007, the Company incurred operating expenses of \$224,398 consisting primarily of stock-based compensation, legal fees, salaries and accounting fees.

For the three months ended August 31, 2006, the Company had a net loss of \$(787,934). In the same period, the Company incurred operating expenses of \$701,794 consisting primarily of research and development expense, stock-based compensation, legal fees and salaries.

The decrease in operating expenses of \$477,396 from the three month period August 31, 2007 compared to the corresponding period related to a decrease in research and development expense of approximately \$227,000, cancellation of stock previously issued for services of approximately \$100,000, and reversal of a commitment of contingency of approximately \$150,000.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As shown in the accompanying Financial Statements, for the three months ended August 31, 2007 and 2006, and since October 28, 2003 through August 31, 2007 the Company has had net losses of \$226,687 and \$6,005,828, respectively. As of August 31, 2007, the Company has not emerged from the development stage. In view of these matters, the Company's ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon the Company's ability to begin operations and to achieve a level of profitability. Since inception, the Company has financed its activities principally from the sale of public equity securities and proceeds from notes payable. The Company intends on financing its future development activities and its working capital needs largely from the sale of public equity securities with some additional funding from other traditional financing sources.

As previously mentioned, since October 28, 2003, we have financed our operations largely from the sale of common stock and proceeds from notes payable. From inception through August 31, 2007, we raised cash of \$675,550 net of issuance costs through private placements of common stock financings and \$1,446,848 through the issuance of notes payable.

Since October 28, 2003 through August 31, 2007, we have incurred \$797,059 of research and development costs and \$5,537,812 in operating expenses.

We have incurred significant net losses and negative cash flows from operations since our inception. As of August 31, 2007, we had a total accumulated deficit of \$7,607,740 and a working capital deficit of \$1,252,156.

We anticipate that cash used in product development and operations, especially in the marketing, production and sale of our products will increase significantly in the future.

18

Part 1 Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Not applicable

Item 4T Controls and Procedures

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d015(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the three month period ending August 31, 2007 covered by this quarterly report on Form 10Q. Based upon such evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as required under Rules 13a015(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act. This conclusion by the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer does not relate to reporting periods after August 31, 2007.

Changes in Control Over Financial Reporting

No change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting occurred during the quarter ended August 31, 2007, that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Part II Item 1 Legal Proceedings

Attorneys Award Reversed in Appellate Court:

In 2001, CytoDyn of New Mexico, Inc. (the previous company holding Cytolin) as a shareholder, sued its licensee Amerimmune Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (API) and its directors in order to prevent the destruction of API. The Los Angeles Superior Court awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of approximately \$150,000 to the

insurance company of API. We appealed the Court's order and prevailed. The Los Angeles Court decision was reversed in December 2007 by the appellate court.

Patent Issues:

Previously, the CEO and a vice-president of ex-licensee Amerimmune, Inc. obtained a patent claiming that they had invented a method of using Cytolin at a higher dose than was used for embodiment of the original invention. Further, despite contractual and fiduciary duties to Amerimmune, Inc., they assigned this patent to a company owned by the CEO. Dr. Peggy Pence, owner of Symbion Research, who was shown by the evidence to have been the one to propose a higher dose escalation litigated in Las Vegas, NV. Rather than go to trial, the employees of Amerimmune, Inc. agreed to a stipulated Court order under which Symbion was awarded full rights to the patent and Dr. Pence was named as an inventor in the patent. The Amerimmune employees may have been influenced by the controlling case law, which arises from a U.S. Supreme Court decision, and holds, in effect, that simply increasing the dose of a drug does not constitute a novel invention and is not a patentable invention. For this reason, the Company has declined to meet what it deems unreasonable terms proposed by Dr. Pence for licensing the patent. The Company's decision was also influenced by the fact that Amerimmune's dose escalation was based on a misconception about the mechanism of action of Cytolin and enrolled patients for its clinical trial that were not ideal candidates for the drug. This mistake could have impacted dose ranging, which the Company will repeat in its own upcoming study.

19

Maya LLC v. CytoDyn, et al Superior Court of Los Angeles Glendale Case# EC041590

CytoDyn, Inc. and Allen D. Allen v. Amerimmune, Inc. and Amerimmune

Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Biovest International, Inc., Commonwealth of

Massachusetts, Superior Court, Worcester County, Civil Action No. 05-0452-C.

The company and some of its officers and directors have entered into a Settlement Agreement with the all parties involved in the above legal matters including CytoDyn, Inc. Allen D. Allen, Corinne Allen, Maya LLC, AIDS Research LLC and Rex Lewis. All of the cases have been settled pursuant to this agreement.

The liability incurred by the company is \$50,000 payment to Rex Lewis by January 14, 2009 and an additional \$25,000 by January 14, 2010. We have Dismissed all claims to the old FDA IND and the old cell bank as defined in the agreement and Rex Lewis and his parties agree to dismiss all claims against the company, any of its predecessors and any of its officers and directors. Related to the above settlement the Company accrued \$75,000 as of August 31, 2007.

Part II Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity and Use of Proceeds

No unregistered sales of equity securities of the company occurred during the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10Q.

Item 3 Defaults Upon Seniors

None

Item 4 Submission of Matter to a Vote of Security Holders

None

Item 5 Other Information

None

Item 6 - Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K.

(a) Exhibits:

1. 31.1: Certification by the CEO
2. 31.2: Certification by the CFO
3. 32.1: Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 - CEO
4. 32.2: Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 - CFO

20

SIGNATURES

CYTODYN, INC.
(Registrant)

DATE: September 25, 2009

BY: /s/ Allen D. Allen

Allen D. Allen
President and CEO

CERTIFICATION

I, Allen D. Allen, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of CytoDyn, Inc.
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the small business issuer as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the small business issuer and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures , or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the small business issuer, including any consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the small business issuer's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the small business issuer's internal control of financial reporting that occurred during the small business issuer's most recent fiscal quarter (the small business issuer's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the small business issuer's auditors and the audit committee of the small business issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the small business issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: September 25, 2009

/s/ Allen D. Allen

Allen D. Allen
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, Corinne Allen, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of CytoDyn, Inc.
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the small business issuer as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the small business issuer and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures , or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the small business issuer, including any consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the small business issuer's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the small business issuer's internal control of financial reporting that occurred during the small business issuer's most recent fiscal quarter (the small business issuer's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the small business issuer's auditors and the audit committee of the small business issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the small business issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: September 25, 2009

/s/ Corinne Allen

Corinne Allen
Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of CytoDyn, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ending August 31, 2007 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Allen D. Allen, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

/s/ Allen D. Allen

Allen D. Allen
Chief Executive Officer
September 25, 2009

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of CytoDyn, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ending August 31, 2007, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Corinne Allen, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

/s/ Corinne Allen

Corinne Allen
Chief Financial Officer
September 25, 2009